

Table 2. Summary of performance results for SEMP objectives, FY 2011. Score = average of task level results on scale of 0.00-1.00 (calculated from sum of task level points, with point distribution as follows: Green= 1 pt., Amber = 0.5 pts, Red = 0 pts). Green = Objective score > 0.66; Amber = Objective score ≤ 0.66 and > 0.33; Red = Objective score ≤ 0.33; Gray = results not yet available. Year = estimated fiscal year when first performance results will be available.

Goal	Objective	Performance Results, FY11
Goal 1 – Ensure that training lands are sustained for long-term use. Protect and conserve soil, water and land resources.	Objective 1-1: Minimize or avoid degradation of training lands and long-term damage to soils and natural resources through identification and correction of maneuver damages and soldier Sustainable Range Awareness (SRA) training.	Score = 0.89
	Objective 1-2: Sustain training land conditions and soil productivity through land rehabilitation and maintenance and watershed management practices.	Score = 0.80
	Objective 1-3: Maintain high water quality and aquatic ecosystems through maintenance of stream and wetland crossing structures, roads and trails; maintenance of sediment basins; and restrictions on training activities within streams, wetlands and riparian areas	2013
Goal 2 – Manage for biological diversity and ecological integrity. Protect and conserve threatened, endangered and rare species, and restore and maintain ecosystems and ecological processes.	Objective 2-1: Promote recovery of the Vernon-Fort Polk Red-Cockaded Woodpecker (RCW) population through cooperative Fort Polk and KNF management and monitoring strategies and Soldier SRA training.	Score = 1.0
	Objective 2-2: Provide high-quality habitat for the RCW, Louisiana pine snake (LPS), and other rare species native to longleaf pine landscapes. Use prescribed fire forest thinning to achieve Desired Future Conditions.	Score = 0.13
	Objective 2-3: Promote viability of the LPS through cooperative management strategies, Soldier SRA training, identification of probable LPS habitat, and construction project planning.	2013
	Objective 2-4: Protect rare plants and unique wetlands habitats through identification, marking and monitoring of hillside seeps and bogs.	2012
Goal 3 – Provide functional, healthy, low-impact and cost-effective facilities through sustainable design and development.	Objective 3-1: Avoid or minimize impacts to environmentally sensitive resources and promote installation sustainability through early integration of master planning and environmental concerns.	Score = 0.29
	Objective 3-2: Ensure that new facilities are designed and built to comply with requirements under the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, Endangered Species Act, and National Environmental Policy Act through project planning and construction phase monitoring.	2013
Goal 4 – Act as “good neighbors” to residents and communities near Fort Polk and the KNF and serve as good stewards of public lands and resources.	Objective 4-1: Support public recreation and multiple uses on the Fort Polk and Peason Ridge Wildlife Management Areas, Limited Use Area (LUA) and Special Limited Use Area (SLUA) through public outreach, scheduling activities, and Soldier SRA training.	Score = 0.75
	Objective 4-2: Protect the quality of life for residents near the installation boundaries through noise monitoring, boundary line marking, fire response and suppression, and road repairs and upgrades.	Score = 0.71
	Objective 4-3: Avoid risks to public safety and conflicts with civilian activities and land uses in the LUA and SLUA.	2014
Goal 5 – Monitor progress toward goals and objectives and evaluate opportunities for continual improvement of environmental and natural resource management.	Objective 5-1: Jointly monitor implementation and effectiveness of mitigation measures in the EIS/Records of Decision for 2d ACR transformation, installation mission support, and long-term military use of KNF lands; and the EA/Decision Notice on increased military use of the LUA.	Score = 1.0
	Objective 5-2: Jointly evaluate and report monitoring results, and adapt operations and management accordingly.	Score = 0.63